

Application No. 10/698,842
Amendment dated October 24, 2005
Reply to Office Action of August 23, 2005

Remarks/Arguments

Applicants thank the Examiner for the Office Action of August 23, 2005 in which a restriction requirement was made between Group I (claims 1-3) and Group II (claims 4-37) and an election of species was required for Figures 1 and 2. In full response to the restriction and election requirements, Applicants elect Group I and the invention of Figure 1 with traverse. Applicants respectfully submit that all of the claims are readable upon Figure 1.

In order to properly require a restriction between various groups and an election between different species according to US PTO restriction practice, the Examiner must not only show that the Groups are distinct, the Examiner must also that search and examination of all the Groups would be burdensome. In this case, the Examiner failed to make such a showing.

The examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the number listed below if it is believed that a telephone call would expedite the prosecution of the application.

Respectfully submitted,



Christopher J. Cronin
Registration No., 46,513

Date: October 24, 2005
Air Liquide
5230 S. East Ave.
Countryside, IL 60513
(708) 579-7925 Phone
(708) 579-7801 Fax

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to telephone number 571-273-8300 on this 24th day of October, 2005.



Application No. 10/698,842
Amendment dated October 24, 2005
Reply to Office Action of August 23, 2005

Christopher J. Cronin